

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

This Document Relates to  
Case Nos. 3:07-MD-1827 and 3:11-CV-711

STATE OF NEW YORK  
by and through ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN,  
Attorney General

Plaintiff,

v.

AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

MASTER FILE NO. 07-md-1827-SI  
MDL File No. 1827

CASE NO. 3:11-CV-711-SI

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING  
EX PARTE APPLICATION TO FILE  
DOCUMENT UNDER SEAL**

[Civil Local Rule 79-5]

Having considered the application regarding whether certain documents Plaintiff State of New York ("New York") lodged with the Court should be filed under seal pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-10 and 79-5(d) and the Court's Standing Order, and good cause appearing, the application is hereby GRANTED.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- New York's Brief in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint or in the Alternative to Stay in Part has been redacted and publicly filed. The redacted portions of New York's Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint or in the Alternative to Stay in Part shall remain under seal; and,

- Exhibit B to the Declaration of John A. Ioannou in Support of New York's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint or in the Alternative to Stay in Part shall remain under seal.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, to maintain the effect of the seal under Civil Local Rule 79-5, the parties, their counsel, and their declarants shall not publicly disseminate or discuss any of the sealed documents or their contents absent further order of the Court.



DATED: 7/1/11

SUSAN Y. ILLSTON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE